HISTORICAL Site Number: 18AN579	Site Name: Timbuktu #* Other name(s) maic quartz cobble quarry Maryland Archeological Research II		abase and in	Prehistoric ✓ Historic ☐ Unknown ☐
Latitude 39.1708 Longitude -76.7278 Elevation m Site slope 5-15% Site setting -Site Setting restricted	Ethnobotany profile available Topography Floodplain High terrace	Maritime site Ownership Private		Run
-Lat/Long accurate to within 1 sq. mile, user may need to make slight adjustments in mapping to account for sites near state/county lines or streams	Hilltop/bluff Rockshelter/ cave Hillslope Upland flat Unknown Ridgetop Other Low terrace Low	Federal State of MD Regional/ county/city Unknown	Ocean Estuary/tidal river Tidewater/marsh Minimum distance to w	Stream/river Swamp Lake or pond Spring ater is 35 m
Paleoindian site Woodland site Carly archaic Early woodland Early woodland Carly	contact period site ca. 1820 - 2 a. 1630 - 1675 ca. 1860 - 2 a. 1675 - 1720 ca. 1900 - 2 a. 1720 - 1780 Post 1930 a. 1780 - 1820 Unknown historic context	1900 Nativ 1930 Afric Angl	<u> </u>	ian American known ner
	Historic Furnace/f Urban/Rural? Other			st-in-ground
Prehistoric	Domestic Transport	ation	ification Ma	sonry
Multi-component Misc. ceremonial	Homestead Canal-rela		ampment Otl	ner structure
Village	Farmstead Road/railr	oad Tow r	nsite Sla	ve related
Hamlet	Mansion Wharf/lan	ding Relig	ious	n-domestic agri
Base camp STU/lithic scatter	Plantation Maritime-			creational
Rockshelter/cave Quarry/extraction	Row/townhome Bridge Cellar	☐ Ch s	support blda	lden/dump
Earthen mound Fish weir	Privy Ford	Buria	al area	
Cairn Production area	Education Industrial	nal Cem	netery	fact scatter
Burial area Unknown Other context	Mining-related Commerc	ial Sep	ulchre Spr	ing or well
	Quarry-related Trading p	ost 🔲 Isola	ated burial Uni	known 🔲
	Mill Store	☐ Bldg	or foundation Oth	er context
	Black/metalsmith Tavern/in	n Poss	sible Structure	
Interpretive Sampling Data: Prehistoric context samples Soil samples take	en N Historic (context samples	Soil samples taken	

Flotation samples taken

Other samples taken

Flotation samples taken N

Other samples taken

	hase II and PI	hase III Arch	neological	Database and In	nventory
HISTORICAL Site	Number: 18AN579	Site Name: Tim	nbuktu #1		Prehistoric 🗸
		Other name(s)			Historic
Brie	Late Archaic q	uartz cobble quarry			Unknown
	cription:				
<u> 1 K O J 1</u>					
Diagnostic Artifact Da	ıta:	Prehistoric Sherd Ty	pes	Shepard	Keyser
Projectile Point Types	Koens-Crispin	Marcey Creek	Popes Creek	Townsend	Yeocomico
Clovis	Perkiomen	Dames Qtr	Coulbourn	Minguannan	Monongahela
Hardaway-Dalton	Susquehana	Selden Island	Watson	Sullivan Cove	Susquehannock
Palmer	Vernon	Accokeek	Mockley	Shenks Ferry	
Kirk (notch)	Piscataway	Wolfe Neck	Clemson Island	Moyaone	
Kirk (stem)	Calvert	Vinette	Page	Potomac Cr	
Le Croy	Selby Bay	Historic Sherd Types	Ironstone	Staffordshire S	Stoneware
Morrow Mntn	Jacks Rf (notch)	Earthenware	Jackfield		English Brown
Guilford	Jacks Rf (pent)	Astbury	Mn Mottled	Whiteware	Eng Dry-bodie
Brewerton	Madison/Potomac	Borderware	North Devon		Nottingham
Otter Creek	Levanna	Buckley	Pearlware	Forceiaiii	Rhenish
All quantities exact or estin	nated minimal counts	Creamware	,	,	Wt Salt-glazed
Other Artifact & Featu	re Types:	Prehistoric Features		Lithic Material Fer quartzite	☐ Sil sandstone ☐
Prehistoric Artifacts	Other fired clay	Mound(s)	Storage/trash pit	Jasper Chalcedony	✓ European flint
Flaked stone 1852	Human remain(s)	Midden	Burial(s)	Chert Ironstone	Basalt
Ground stone	Modified faunal	Shell midden	Ossuary	Rhyolite Argilite	Unknown
Stone bowls	Unmod faunal 7	Postholes/molds	Unknown	Quartz Steatite	Other
Fire-cracked rock 26	Oyster shell	House pattern(s)	Other	Quartzite Sandstone	
Other lithics (all) 62	Floral material	Palisade(s)		☐ Dated features present at	site
Ceramics (all)	Uncommon Obj.	Hearth(s)			
Rimsherds	Other _	Lithic reduc area			
Historic Artifacts	Tobacco related	Historic Features	Privy/outhouse	☐ Depression/mound☐	Unknown
Pottery (all)	Activity item(s)	Const feature	Well/cistern	Burial(s)	Other
Glass (all)	Human remain(s)	Foundation			Other
Architectural	Faunal material	Cellar hole/cellar	Trash pit/dump	Railroad bed	
Furniture	Misc. kitchen		Sheet midden	Earthworks	
Arms	Floral material	Hearth/chimney	Planting feature	Mill raceway	
Clothing	Misc.	Postholes/molds	Road/walkway	Wheel pit	
Personal items	Other _	Paling ditch/fence	•	All quantities exact or esting	nated minimal counts
Radiocarbon Data:					
Sample 1.	years BP Reliability San	nple 2: +/-	years BP Reliabi	ility Sample 3: +/-	years BP Reliability
Sample 4: +/- +-		nple 2: +/- +/-		lity Sample 3: +/- +/-	years BP Reliability years BP Reliability

+/-

Additional radiocarbon results available

MARYLAND Phase I	I and Phase III Ar	cheological Database and In	ventory
HISTORICAL Site Number:	18AN579 Site Name:	Timbuktu #1	Prehistoric 🗸
	Other name(s)		Historic
Brief	Late Archaic quartz cobble quarry		Unknown
TRUST Description:			
External Samples/Data:		Collection curated at MAC	
Additional raw data may be available of	online		

Summary Description:

The Timbuktu #1 site (18AN579) is a Late Archaic quartz cobble quarry located between Elkridge and the Arundel Mills area in northern Anne Arundel County, Maryland. The site is situated on a gently sloping terrace, above the swampy floodplain between a second order creek and a fourth order creek draining towards the Patapsco. At the time of the site's discovery, it was wooded with river birch, sycamore, red maple, sweet gum, and tulip poplar, along with an understory and ground cover of poison ivy, Virginia creeper, greenbriers, Japanese honeysuckle, and southern arrowwood. The primary vegetation during prehistoric times likely consisted of hardwoods such as oaks, sweetgum, and yellow-poplar. Soils at the site are of the Beltsville-Chillum-Sassafras association.

The site was first identified during a Phase I survey conducted in 1985 and 1986. The field project was carried out along three proposed alternates for the expansion of MD 100. The various highway alternatives would span the roughly 7.5 miles between MD 3 and US 1, passing the Baltimore-Washington International (BWI) Airport. Since the highway improvements would receive state and federal monies, the mandates of the NHPA act and related state legislation required the fieldwork.

The field methods involved an initial ground reconnaissance of the three highway alternates to locate above ground structural remains, eliminate low probability areas from the testing strategy, and better determine moderate to high probability areas requiring further investigation. Low probability areas included steep slopes, industrial or residential disturbances, and wetlands. The remaining moderate to high probability areas were grouped together to form survey tracts and they were subjected to surface collection in areas of exposed ground surface and excavation of shovel test pits (STPs) to located buried archeological deposits. The STPs were approximately 35 cm in diameter, with depth varying according to soils in the specific area. The fill from each STP was examined (but not screened) for artifacts and the stratigraphy was recorded. In the survey tract which contained 18AN579, a total of four STPs were excavated and surface collection was undertaken along a nearby dirt road, which led to the identification of the site.

Artifacts recovered during the 1985/1986 Phase I operations at 18 AN579 included a non-diagnostic projectile point, 3 preforms, a drill, 3 other bifaces, 4 scrapers, a utilized flake, 58 flakes, 11 chunks, 44 shatter fragments, and a hammerstone. All of the recovered materials came from the top 20 cm of soil. The site was estimated to be roughly 45.5 X 15 m in size. Based on these findings, Phase II testing was carried out in 1987.

The 1987 Phase II work was also related to the MD 100 highway expansion project. At 18AN579 the Phase II fieldwork entailed the excavation of 435 STPs and nine 1 X 1 m test units. The shovel tests were placed at 3 m intervals along transects 3 m apart. Each was excavated to sterile subsoil (generally 50-60 cm below surface) and screened through hardware cloth to recover artifacts. Artifact finds were then mapped and the data was used to inform the placement of the 1 X 1 m units.

The STPs clearly revealed significant clustering of quartz gravel and artifacts. The site could be pretty clearly sub-divided into nine quartz gravel clusters. Seven of the units were situated in five of these clusters. The other two units were placed outside of cluster areas more-or-less to confirm that the site boundaries had been accurately identified through shovel testing. The test units were excavated in natural levels, except where natural levels exceeded 10 cm in depth. In the latter case, arbitrary 10 cm levels were used to subdivide the natural levels. All soil was screened through hardware cloth. Upon completion of each level, the unit was recorded on standardized forms, drawn, and photographed. Profiles were drawn documented upon completion of each full unit.

The stratigraphy of the site was found to be fairly consistent throughout: a 5-10 cm organic topsoil overlying a 10-20 cm thick yellowish brown silt layer, with a much lighter clayey subsoil beneath. Areas near the point overlooking the flooplain tend to have deeper individual layers, while the slopes are more shallow. Historic artifact were lightly scattered across the site, but rarely intrude into event he second layer of soil. The quantities of historic items are very insignificant and can be accounted for by trash dumping along the terrace edge followed by limited bioturbation and erosion. The historic artifacts are not included in the artifact tallies in the tables above. The distribution of prehistoric artifacts exhibited clear evidence of distinct lithic work areas (corresponding to the aforementioned clusters). Based on this evidence, the site appears to be essentially intact below 10 or 15 cm. These deposits continue to considerable depth throughout, especially in the north-easternmost gravel/artifact cluster. However, there is no clear domestic midden and no cultural features were identified. This would not be entirely unexpected at a site that appears to have been utilized as a lithic quarry. The relatively deep deposits of the site, suggest the possibility of examining changing use of the site over time.

Artifacts recovered during the Phase II investigations at 18AN579 include a rhyolite Holmes point, an unidentified quartz stemmed point, 16 other quartz bifaces in various stages of production, 5 utilized/retouched flakes, 23 cores, 1,562 flakes, 111 pieces of shatter, 7 tested cobbles, 56 unmodified cobbles, 26 pieces of fire-cracked rock, 4 hammerstones, an anvil/nutting stone, 6 shell fragments, and part of a turtle shell.

Site 18AN579 appears to be a quartz cobble quarry, where only bipolar reduction techniques in the very initial stages of production were used. Production at the site included all stages from procurement to the making of performs. These preforms were completed, or turned into tools, elsewhere. The character of habitation at the site may be thought of as non-domestic, although flake tools indicate that some domestic functions were probably conducted back from the terrace edge.

The aforementioned cobble/artifact clusters were interpreted to represent single work areas. In the case of the 4 smaller concentrations, they were probably used by the same group or groups of people. The 5 larger clusters could be either large work areas or several reoccupations or reuses of the same spot by unrelated groups of people alternating with more or less long periods of disuse. The clusters do not have thick layers of nearly pure debitage as has been found at some quarry sites. This suggests that none of the work areas were used over long periods or by large semi-permanent groups of people specializing in making large quantities of performs. Rather, the work areas were probably used on a temporary basis by the same group or groups of people for a period of a generation or two after which it was forgotten and no longer used. The smaller clusters would probably have been used by 3 to 5 workers at a time, or a small band of hunters replenishing their stock of performs. Extrapolating this group size would mean that some of the larger clusters probably represent two, three, or slightly more work areas. Because of the hypothesized work force and itinerant nature of lithic procurement conducted at the site, the work areas were probably rarely used at the same time.

Perhaps the most important conclusion to be drawn from the testing project is that the site does contain untapped data with which to address questions of lithic procurement during the Late Archaic Period. Specifically, the site has the potential for addressing questions concerning the internal functioning of a

MARYLAND Phas	e II and P	nase III AI	rcneologicai Da	tabase and inventory
HISTORICAL Site Numb	er: 18AN579	Site Name:	Timbuktu #1	Prehistoric 🗸
	r	Other name(s)		Historic
Brief	Late Archaic	quartz cobble quarry	•	Unknown _
TRUST Description	n:			

quarry, bipolar lithic technology, technological change, and such methodological questions as determining significant differences in object, reduction and size indices, determining significant differences in artifact patterns, or determining which artifact classes are most useful for examining culture change and process at quarry sites. Further, the site is not overpowering, in the sense that there is not so much overlapping of material that work areas cannot be defined. Apparently work areas can and have been defined. On the other hand, it is no so small or insignificant that the lessons learned could not be applied to other more complex quarries. A site such as 18AN579 might be able to produce some quarry site patterns that could be used to unravel more complex quarry sites and serve as a baseline for comparison with non-cobble, non-quartz quarries as well as with other quartz cobble quarry sites.

Ultimately, the Timbuktu #1 site (18AN579) was determined to have integrity and be capable of answering relevant archeological questions and was determined eligible for listing on the NRHP. A decision was made to preserve the site in-place, as a means of mitigating the threat to the site from the MD 100 extension project. The site was covered with geo-textile fabric and carefully buried using heavy machinery. The road was then built atop the site, essentially burying the site intact.

External Reference Codes (Library ID Numbers):

00000706, 00000558, Site Files